

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

2024:PHHC:061188
CRWP-4025-2024
Date of decision: May 3rd, 2024

Khushboo and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Union Territory Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Sourabh Shah, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their life at the hands of private respondents No.5 to 7, who are stated to be family members of petitioner No.1.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1, aged 21 years and petitioner No.2, aged 25 years, got married on 27.04.2024, against the wishes of family members of petitioner No.1, arrayed as respondents No.5 to 7. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 27.04.2024 (Annexure P-4) was also made to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, for protection of their life and liberty but in vain. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, to look into their representation (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate steps at

the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.
4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Anil Kumar Lamdharia, Additional Public Prosecutor, U.T. Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, to look into the representation dated 27.04.2024 (Annexure P-4) qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

May 3rd, 2024
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No