

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-4452-2023
Date of Decision:16.04.2024

Aman Preet Kaur and another ...Petitioners
Vs.
State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Vivek Baghla , Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ankur Bahi, Additional P.P., U.T., Chandigarh assisted by
Inspector SI Pragati.

Mr. Aayush Goyal, Advocate with
Mr. Birinder Pal, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to direct the respondents No.1 to 5 to protect the life and liberty of the present petitioners and their family members as they apprehends imminent threats at the hands of respondent No.6 and his companion.
2. A status report by way of an affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Kharar-I, District SAS Nagar (Mohali) has been filed on behalf of the respondents No.1, 4 and 5 and the same is taken on record.

3. Learned State counsel submits that the matter pertaining to the security of the present petitioner has been considered and it has been found that there is no threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners. He further contends that in case any representation is received from the present petitioners with regard to the threat to their life and liberty at a later stage, action shall be taken on the said representation in accordance with law.
4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the [U.T., Chandigarh](#) also submits that there is no threat to the life and liberty of the present petitioners at this stage and no complaint has been received from the Chandigarh Police in this regard.
5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent No.6 also submits that various cases are pending between respondent No.6 and the present petitioners before different Courts. However, respondent No.6 had not even tried to contact the petitioners and their family members and did not extend any threat to the petitioners and their family members. He has also further assured the Court that the respondent No.6 will not try to approach/contact the petitioners in any manner and will not extend any threat to them.
6. In view of the statement made by the learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the respondents, no further orders are required and the present petition is accordingly disposed off.

16.04.2024
M.Sikka

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No