

2024:PHHC:165299



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH.**

209

**CWP-13511-2019 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 09.12.2024.**

SUMITRA AND OTHERS

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Mittal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate,
Mr. Randhir Bawa, Advocate, and
Mr. Rishabh Rana, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 to 4.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Prayer made in the present writ petition is for seeking issuance of directions to the respondents to pay compensation to the tune of Rs.25 lakhs on account of death of Sh. Vishnu Dutt due to electrocution on account of negligence on the part of the respondents.

2 Written statement has been filed on behalf of the respondents through Maninder Singh, Xen Operation, Sub-Urban, Division, UHBVNL,

2024:PHHC:165299



Sonepat-respondent No.2 wherein it has been averred that petitioner has already received a compensation of Rs.5,84,800/- from the respondents under the Workmen's/Employees Compensation Act, 1923 vide order No.266 dated 29.05.2017 passed by the Chief Engineer (Op) UHBVNL, Rohtak, apart from other admissible benefits including GPF and DCRG. Besides, the compassionate financial assistance (an amount equal to last pay drawn) has also been released to the petitioners.

3 During the course of arguments, counsel for the petitioners had submitted that the amount of Rs.5,84,800/- has not been released to the petitioners.

4 Counsel for the respondent was accordingly directed to verify the same vide order dated 06.11.2023.

5 Accordingly, on the resumed hearing today, counsel for the respondents contends that cheque No.346989 dated 15.06.2017 amounting to Rs.5,84,800/- was paid from the SBI, Chitana Branch (Branch Code-8707) on 28.06.2017 into the Account No.35893347333 of petitioner No.1-Sumitra wife of Sh. Vishnu Dutt, resident of VPO Mahra, District Sonapat.

6 Since the writ petition had been primarily filed for compensation on account of death due to electrocution and the admissible compensation under the applicable policy and the other rules is claimed to have been disbursed by the respondents vide cheque No.346989 dated 15.06.2017, the instant petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

2024:PHHC:165299



7 Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioners to seek revival of the aforesaid claim in case the aforesaid description of the amount having been disbursed/released into account of petitioner No.1 is found to be incorrect.

December 09, 2024.	(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
raj arora	JUDGE
<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>: Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>: Yes/No</i>