



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-21780-2024

Date of decision: 08.08.2024

Umar Mohd

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Pradeep Duhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.225 dated 22.05.2023 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 285, 307, 506, 212 of the IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Sadar Tauru, District Nuh.

2. Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate has entered appearance and filed his vakalatnama on behalf of the complainant which is taken on record.

3. On 02.05.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that though the petitioner was named in the FIR in question, however, he had been attributed a simple



CRM-M-21780-2024

injury on the hand of the complainant. Learned counsel submits that there is a history of strained relations between the parties and it is precisely for that reasons a false and fabricated case has been planted upon him.”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 02.05.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the complainant has vehemently opposed the prayer made by counsel opposite for extending the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. It has also not been disputed that the petitioner has been attributed only a simple injury on the wrist of the complainant with a stick. Learned State counsel, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

7. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 02.05.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

08.08.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No