

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

130

CRM-M-21771-2024
Date of decision : 02.05.2024

Vikram Sharma Petitioner

versus

State of U.T. and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Aditya Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Jeevan Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anupam Bhansal, Addl. P.P., U.T. Chandigarh.

Ms. Neha Ahluwalia, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.27 dated 21.03.2024, registered for offence punishable under Section 174-A of IPC, at Police Station Industrial Area, U.T. Chandigarh.
2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties were already in the parlance to settle the matter.
3. Ms. Neha, on instructions from the complainant who is present in person, does not dispute the aforesaid fact. Counsel for the petitioner further has produced two demand drafts total amounting to Rs. 41,73,000/-. Photocopy thereof has been taken on record as mark 'X'.
4. Demand drafts in original have been handed over to the complainant in Court today.

2024:PHHC:061538

5. In view thereof, complainant has agreed to compound the offence of the petitioner. As a consequence thereof, the impugned FIR stands quashed in view of the following observations made by Coordinate Bench in **CRM-M-43813- 2018** titled as **“Baldev Chand Bansal Vs. State of Haryana and another”** dated 29.01.2019, wherein it has been held as under:-

“Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.64 dated 15.02.2017 filed under Section 174-A of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Sector-5, Panchkula and all other subsequent proceedings arising thereof as well as order dated 24.10.2016 passed by the trial Court vide which a direction was issued to register the aforesaid FIR.”

xx xxx xxxx

*Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the decisions rendered by this Court in “**Vikas Sharma vs. Gurpreet Singh Kohli and another (supra), 2017, (3) L.A.R.584, Microqual Techno Limited and others Vs. State of Haryana and another, 2015 (32) RCR (Crl.) 790 and “Rajneesh Khanna Vs. State of Haryana and another” 2017 (3) L.A.R. 555** wherein in an identical circumstance, this Court has held that since the main petition filed under Section 138 of the Act stands withdrawn in view of an amicable settlement between the parties, therefore, continuation of proceedings under Section 174A of IPC shall be nothing but an abuse of the process of law.*

xx xxx xxxx

In view of the same, I find merit in the present petition and accordingly, present petition is allowed and the impugned order dated 24.10.2016 passed by Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Panchkula as well as FIR No.64 dated 15.02.2017 registered under Section 174-A of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sector-5, Panchkula and all other subsequent proceedings arising thereof, are hereby quashed.”

6. Same is the view of another Co-ordinate Bench in the **“Ashok Madaan vs. State of Haryana and another” reported as 2020 (4) RCR (Criminal) 87**, wherein it has been held that:-

*“No doubt, the learned counsel for the respondent has vehemently argued that the offence under Section 174-A I.P.C. is independent of the main case, therefore, merely because the main case has been dismissed for want of prosecution, the present petition cannot be allowed, however, keeping in view the fact that the present FIR was registered only on account of absence from the proceedings in the main case which had been subsequently regularised by the court while granting bail to the petitioner, the default stood condoned. In such circumstances, continuation of proceedings under Section 174A I.P.C. shall be abuse of the process of court.
7. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. FIR No. 446 dated 21.08.2017, registered under Section 174A I.P.C. at Police Station Kotwali, District Faridabad, as well as consequential proceedings shall stand quashed.”*

7. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and in view of the principles settled by this Court, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.27 dated 21.03.2024, registered for offence punishable under Section 174-A of IPC, at Police Station Industrial Area, U.T. Chandigarh is hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

02.05.2024
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No