



234 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22384-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.07.2024

RAVI SINGH AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Abdul Aziz, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Rupender Singh Rana, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.161 dated 15.11.2020 under Sections 354/323/506/148/149 of IPC registered at Police Station City 1 Malerkotla Sangrur now District Malerkotla (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 21.02.2023 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 06.05.2024:

"This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.161 dated 15.11.2020 under Sections 354/323/506/148/149 of IPC registered at Police Station City 1 Malerkotla Sangrur now District Malerkotla (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 21.02.2023 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that it is a partial compromise as out of 08 accused, the Investigating Agency has presented challan only against the petitioners. He further



submits that in view of the law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in 'Jayrajsinh Digvijaysinh Rana Vs. State of Gujarat and another' 2012(4) RCR (CrL.) 589 and this Court in 'Parambir Singh Gill Vs. Malkiat Kaur' 2010(1) RCR (CrL.) 256, partial compromise is permissible.

Notice of motion for 02.07.2024.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Rupender Singh Rana, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his vakalatnama and admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 02.07.2024.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance."

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrL.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.161 dated 15.11.2020 under Sections 354/323/506/148/149 of IPC registered at Police Station City 1 Malerkotla

Sangrur now District Malerkotla (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

July 02, 2024
Ajay Goswami

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No