

S. No.132

2024:PHHC:061516

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.4013 of 2024

Date of Decision:03.05.2024

Naveen Kumar Chhabra and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate for the petitioners.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel contends that at the instance of the petitioners, FIR No.6 dated 12.01.2024 under Sections 452, 323, 506, 148, 149 IPC (Annexure P.1); and FIR No.35 dated 02.04.2024 under Sections 307, 323, 506, 120-B, 34 IPC (Annexure P.2), were registered at Police Station Nangal, District Rupnagar against respondent No.5 – Nikhil Nayyar. Learned counsel further contends that said respondent No.5- Nikhil Nayyar had applied for anticipatory bail before this Court in FIR No.35 dated 02.04.2024 (Annexure P.2), which was declined by this Court vide order dated 24.04.2024 (Annexure P.4) passed in CRM-M-20266 of 2024 (O&M). Learned counsel submits that now said respondent No.5 is threatening the petitioners with their life and liberty. Learned counsel prays for issuing necessary directions to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners. They also made representation dated 18.04.2024 (Annexure P.5) to respondents No.3 and 4 but no action has been taken so far.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent- State.

This petition is hereby disposed of with the direction to respondents No.1 to 4 to take necessary action, as per law, on the representation (Annexure P.5). It is further directed that threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners be assessed and necessary protection be provided to them.

May 03, 2024
renu

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No