

2024:PHHC:088521



Seema
2024.07.17 11:01
attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRM-M- 21699-2024 (O&M)

-1-

206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M- 21699-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.07.2024**

SOHAN

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH

Present: Mr. Nirmal Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

GURBIR SINGH, J (ORAL)

1. This is the petition that has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 96 dated 20.03.2024, under Sections 379-A, 34 of IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Gohana City, District Sonipat.
2. Vide order dated 01.05.2024, this Court had granted interim bail to the petitioner and directed him to join investigation. The same is reproduced as under:-

“Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438

**CRM-M- 21699-2024 (O&M)****-2-**

Cr.P.C in case FIR No.96 dated 20.03.2024 registered under Sections 379-A, 34 of the IPC registered at Police Station Gohana City, District Sonipat.

As per allegations, on 20.03.2024 three boys with muffled faces came on a motorcycle and snatched cash amount of ₹ 500/- from complainant Dilip Yadav. Later on, co-accused Subham and Sonu were apprehended on 26.03.2024 and from their possession recovery of some of the amount was effected. It is also the allegation that both of them nominated petitioner to be involved with them.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner has been falsely involved; that the occurrence was captured on CCTV footage but nowhere there is involvement of petitioner in the alleged offence; that petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent- State. A copy of paper book be supplied to him during the course of day.

Adjourned to 16.07.2024 for filing status report.

In the meantime, in case of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. However, it is directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sandeep, Belt No. 1403/SP submits that in compliance of order dated 01.05.2024, petitioner has joined investigation and he is not required for any custodial interrogation.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.
5. In view of the fact that the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required, the order dated 01.05.2024 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the



CRM-M- 21699-2024 (O&M)

-3-

conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. in letter and spirit.

6. Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

16.07.2024
Seema

(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>