



295-2
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21659-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.09.2024

Virender
State of Haryana

Versus

...Petitioner
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. S.S. Bairagi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupinder Singh, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. On 02.05.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, submits that similarly situated co-accused, namely, Deshraj, has already been granted the concession of pre-arrest bail by Co-ordinate Bench vide order dated 12.02.2024 passed in CRM-M-7322-2024, which is now fixed for hearing on 21.05.2024.

Notice of motion for 21.05.2024.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Chhina, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

Interim order in the same terms as in CRM-M-7322-2024. To be heard alongwith CRM-M-7322-2024.”

2. In CRM-M-7322-2024, on 12.02.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Notice of motion.



Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to have instructions and/or file written response in the matter.

Posted for 10.04.2024.

In the meantime, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

3. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 02.05.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.



6. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

19.09.2024
Satyawan

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No