

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22827-2024 (O&M)
Date of order: 08.05.2024

Bhupinder Singh & another
... Petitioner(s)
Versus
SSP Vigilance Bureau & ors.
...Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Satender Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Bhupinder Singh Kundra, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Swati Batra, DAG, Punjab (through VC).

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
10	25.5.2022	State Vigilance Bureau, Patiala	409, 201, 120-B IPC and 13(1)A R/W Sec.13(2) P.C. Act.

CRM-20010-2024:

The application is allowed, as prayed.

CRM-M-22827-2024:

1. Seeking direction to the respondents to present challan in the FIR, captioned above, the petitioners have come up before this Court by filing the present petition under Section 482 CrPC.
2. Counsel for the petitioners submits that they have already filed a representation to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Vigilance Bureau, Patiala (Annexure P-2) and they would be contended and satisfied if their representation is decided in a time bound manner.
3. Notice served upon the official respondent through State’s counsel. The nature of order this Court proposes to pass, no further response is required from the respondent-State.

4. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed with a direction to respondent no.1-SSP to decide the representation (Annexure P-2) of the petitioners himself or by appointing any other officer not below the rank of DSP within a period of three months from today, by passing a reasoned and speaking order. Order so passed be also communicated to the representationist without any delay.

5. Petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observations. Any other pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

May 08, 2024
AK

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No