

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

106

C.R. No.3201 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of Order:19.01.2024

M/s M.R.Electricals

.Petitioner

Versus

Harmohinder Singh and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Avnish Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. P.S.Guliani, Advocate, for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

1. The tenant has filed this revision petition to challenge the validity of the concurrent orders of his eviction passed by the Rent Controller which in appeal has been affirmed by the Appellate Authority.
2. The learned counsel representing the respondents (landlords) has entered appearance without issuance of notice. He is already appearing for the respondents in the connected revision petition i.e. Civil Revision No.8985 of 2017. He submits that the respondent have decided not to seek eviction of the petitioner from the tenanted premises.
3. This court requested the learned counsel to verify this fact from the respondents before giving the statement.
4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the revision petition is disposed of as infructuous while declaring that the eviction orders passed by the Rent Controller which in appeal was affirmed by the Appellate Authority ceases to operate in view of the statement made by the respondents in this revision petition.

5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

January 19, 2024
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

:YES/NO
:YES/NO