

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(296)

**2024:PHHC:005851
CRM-M-21272-2019
Date of Decision: 16.01.2024**

Sukhjinder Kaur @ Lali @ Sukhwinder Kaur

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL.

Present:- None for petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Tung, DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL.J (Oral)

Case has been called twice, however, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Instant petition was filed way back in the year 2019 for quashing of FIR in question on the basis of compromise. Vide order dated 13.05.2019 the parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate concerned for getting their respective statements recorded with respect to the compromise so effected between them. Thereafter, the case was adjourned continuously. It would be apposite to reproduce all the previous orders passed by this Court w.e.f. 06.12.2019:-

“In compliance of order dated 13.5.2019 a report has been received from the trial court that the complainants have not appeared before it for making statement with regard to the compromise.

None has appeared for the petitioner and the complainants, as well.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 20.04.2020.”

On 18.08.2022, the following order was passed:-

“None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner and on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 as well.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 13.01.2023.”

On 09.02.2023, the following order was passed:-

“Case called twice. However, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 11.04.2023.

Registry is directed to intimate this order to counsel for the parties.”

On 27.07.2023, the following order was passed:-

“Report has been received from Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Khanna.

As per report, none of the two respondents, including the complainant, turned up to get their statements recorded with regard to the compromise effected between the parties.

Case has been called, however, none has entered appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

Purely in the interest of justice, adjourned to 26.09.2023.”

On 26.09.2023, the following order was passed:-

“Since Lawyers of the Bar Association are abstaining from work, purely in the interest of justice, adjourned to 02.11.2023.”

It appears that the compromise effected between the parties, has

fallen through and that is precisely the reason why the counsel for the

parties are not appearing even though they were intimated about the date fixed.

Thus, in the aforementioned circumstances on account of non-appearance of counsel for petitioner, instant petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

16.01.2024
lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No