



CRM-M- 29436-2024 -1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M- 29436-2024
Date of decision: 27.08.2024

Atin BansalPetitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Instant petition under Section 482 Cr.PC has been preferred seeking directions to the official respondents No. 1 to 4 to conduct a fair impartial and independent investigation in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
213	16.11.2023	420 IPC and 7 of the Essential Commodities Act 1955	City Kotkapura, District Faridkot

2. Heard.
3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the aforesaid FIR was registered on 16.11.2023 wherein the petitioner had been nominated as one of the accused. He submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that although the petitioner has joined the investigation after having been granted bail

**CRM-M- 29436-2024**

-2-

and has apprised the Investigating Agencies of the true facts but the Investigating Agencies are not considering the factual circumstances. Even a detailed representation (Annexure P-3) was moved to the Director General of Police, Punjab, on 30.03.2024 but till date no action has been taken thereon. He further submits that qua the complaint dated 29.03.2024/30.03.2024 (Annexure P-4), moved to the Chief Vigilance Officer, Vigilance Department, Punjab, liberty may be granted to the petitioner to avail appropriate legal remedy in accordance with the law.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that for the purpose of the present petition, the petitioner will be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and dispose of the aforesaid representation dated 30.03.2024 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with the law in an expeditious manner.

5. Notice of motion to the official respondents.

6. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Adesh Pal Singh, AAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents No. 1 to 4 and, on instructions received from ASI Sukhdev Singh, submits that although the aforesaid representation dated 30.03.2024 (Annexure P-3) has been received by the Department but the same is still pending decision as of now.

CRM-M- 29436-2024

-3-

7. After considering the rival contentions and considering the limited request made by learned counsel for the petitioner, instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.1-the Director General of Police, Punjab, to consider the averments made in the representation dated 30.03.2024 (Annexure P-3), to dispose of the same expeditiously and to take action, as warranted, under the provisions of the law. However, in view the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, liberty is granted to the petitioner qua his complaint dated 29.03.2024/30.03.2024 moved to the Chief Vigilance Officer Vigilance Department, Punjab, to take appropriate legal remedy in accordance with the law as may be available to him.

8. Decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioner.

9. Disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

27.08.2024
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |