



232 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22263-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.07.2024

NAVEEN KUMAR AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Ankit Aggarwal, Advocate
for Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.0027 dated 06.02.2022 under Sections 323/34/494/498-A/ 509 of IPC and Section 406 of IPC added later on registered at Police Station Women Police Station, NIT, Faridabad, District Faridabad along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

2. The following order was passed on 06.05.2024:

"This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.0027 dated 06.0.2022 under Sections 323/34/494/498-A/ 509 of IPC and Section 406 of IPC added later on registered at Police Station Women Police Station, NIT, Faridabad, District Faridabad along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

Notice of motion for 02.07.2024.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent



2

No.1-State and Mr. Gaurav Singh, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his vakalatnama and admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 02.07.2024.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court and perusal of the said report indicates that only petitioners No. 1 and 2 have appeared before learned Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise and petitioner No. 3-Pooja Rani, has not appeared before the concerned jurisdictional Magistrate. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 2 submits that respondent No. 2 does not have any objection, in case the FIR(supra) is quashed qua petitioner No. 3 also. The report further reveals that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise, submission made by learned counsel for respondent No. 2 and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0027

dated 06.02.2022 under Sections 323/34/494/498-A/509 of IPC and Section 406 of IPC added later on registered at Police Station Women Police Station, NIT, Faridabad, District Faridabad and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

July 02, 2024
Ajay Goswami

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No