

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(109)

CWP No. 10056 of 2024
Date of Decision : 03.05.2024

Darshana

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Jai Shree Kaushik, Advocate and
Mr. Aryavarat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the junior employees as compared to the services of the husband of the petitioner have already been regularized in service, a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-7 but the said benefit was not given to the husband of the petitioner on the ground that he has already died. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that once, on the date of regularization, the husband of the petitioner was alive, the benefit extended to the junior employees has to be extended to the senior employee i.e. husband of the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 01.03.2024 (Annexure P-8) but the same is still pending consideration with the

respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 01.03.2024 (Annexure P-8) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reason will be given for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

May 03, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No