



CWP-8657-2001 and CWP-8710-2001

1

**387+389 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-8657-2001
DECIDED ON: 20.05.2024**

V.K.BANSAL

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CWP-8710-2001

KARAM SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PB. AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY.

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sumbhav Parmar, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J (ORAL)

1. These cases involve similar issues and therefore, are being disposed of together by this common judgment.

2. The prayer made in the present petitions is for quashing of the impugned order dated 08.06.2001 and to continue to enforce the seniority list circulated on 15.12.2000.

3. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.3 submits that vide order dated 07.08.2001 it was clarified by the Division Bench that he would

**CWP-8657-2001 and CWP-8710-2001**

2

not be reverted till further orders and has since retired on attaining the age of superannuation, in 2010, similarly, even petitioner who has aggrieved against the declared as junior to him also retired in the same year.

4. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners.
5. Reliance in the index of these cases was made to two writ petitions, being CWP-1399-1995 which was vide order dated 19.11.2001 permitted to be withdrawn having become infructuous, while CWP-5186-1995 was also disposed of having been rendered infructuous on account to the fact that petitioner No.1 had been dismissed from service and petitioner No.2 had retired.
6. In view of the above, the present petitions are disposed of.
7. Copy of the order be placed on the file of the connected case.

20.05.2024

mahima

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No