



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

283

CRM-M-21820-2024(O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.05.2024

ANTERPREET SINGH

....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Isha Goyal, Advocate for respondent no.2.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner who is the husband of respondent no.2 is seeking benefit of pre-arrest bail in case arising out FIR No.11 dated 06.03.2024 registered under Sections 498-A, 406, 315, 120-B of IPC at Police Station Women, District Commissionerate Amritsar on the basis of complaint filed by respondent no.2 whereby allegations of her being subjected to cruelty on account of demand of dowry by the petitioner and other members of his family member had been levelled. It has also been levelled that her *stridhan* had been misappropriated by them.

Status report has been filed today. On request of counsel for both the parties, the matter had been sent to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court vide order dated 02.05.2024. A report has been received that the matter could not be settled between the parties. Though, a report already dated 13.05.2024 has been received from the Mediation and



Conciliation Centre that the matter could not be settled between the parties. However, it is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner as well as respondent no.2 that the matter has been settled between them on 22.05.2024. A written settlement/agreement executed between the parties has also been placed on record in the petition filed by the parents of the petitioner bearing **CRM-M-16048-2024** and is fixed for today only. The written settlement/agreement is shown to have been executed between the parties has been examined. Learned counsel for respondent no.2 has no objection, if the petition is allowed.

The parties have arrived at a compromise. There is nothing on record to show that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required even otherwise. As such, this Court of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner's in custody. Accordingly, the petition is allowed subject to the petitioner surrendering before the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer within a period of 15 days. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer shall release the petitioner on bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bonds to his satisfaction and shall also join investigation thereafter as and when required. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(**MANISHA BATRA**)
JUDGE

24.05.2024
Deepak Patwal

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No
2. *Whether reportable* : Yes/No