



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(283)

CRM-M-42732-2018
Date of Decision : 11.11.2024

Suman

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. P.P.S. Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhinash Jain, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., a prayer is made for issuance of directions, upon the respondents No.1 to 4, to register a criminal case, under the appropriate sections of the IPC, against the respondents No.5 to 7, who have committed the murder of the petitioner's husband, and also pressurizing the petitioner to compromise the matter.
2. On earlier occasions, various interim orders have been passed by the coordinate Bench of this Court. Today, learned counsel for the State, has filed status report dated 06/07.11.2024, by way of affidavit of Ms. Pooja Vashisth, IPS, Superintendent of Police, District Mahendergarh, on behalf of the respondent-State of Haryana, in the Court. The same is taken on the record. Copy thereof, supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.
3. This Court, vide order dated 12.08.2024, had passed the hereinafter extracted directions :-



“In deference of the directions issued by this Court, vide order dated 07.08.2024, Sh. Arsh Verma, S.P., Narnaul, has caused his personal appearance before this Court, and assured this Court that appropriate legal steps will be taken to redress the issues, as raised by the petitioner through the instant petition.

On the request of the S.P., Narnaul, the matter is adjourned to 11.11.2024.

A status report with regard to the investigation be filed on or before the next date of hearing.

Further appearance of Sh.Arsh Verma, SP, Narnaul, before this Court, is dispensed with.”

- 4. After perusal of the reply (supra), learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the action, which has now been taken by the official respondents has satisfied his grievance, and therefore, at this stage, he does not want any further direction to be passed by this Court, in the instant petition.
- 5. In view of the above, the instant petition is hereby, closed.
- 6. **Disposed of** accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

November 11, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No