



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.351-1

CR-2632-2024
Date of Decision: 10.07.2024

SULEKHA GROVER

....Petitioner

Versus

RAVIT CHOWDHRY

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI

Present:- Petitioner-in-person, along with
Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Respondent-in-person, along with
Mr. Pulkit Dagar, Advocate, for
Mr. Kunal Muthreja, Advocate.

ARCHANA PURI, J. (Oral)

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the the main case has been finally disposed of by learned Trial Court, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present revision petition, which related to the interim custody denied to the petitioner.

As such, learned counsel for the petitioner makes a prayer that the present revision petition be dismissed, as having become infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

10.07.2024
Sonu

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No