



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

1370

CWP-18140-2006
Date of decision : 19.12.2024

Anil Kumar KansalPetitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and anotherRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Akshay Bhan, Senior Advocate assisted by
Mr. A.S. Rawaley, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Saguna Arora, A.A.G. Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of certiorari, quashing the final seniority list dated 06.09.2006 (Annexure P-22), whereby respondent No.2 has been shown senior to the petitioner. Further, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondent No.1 to promote the petitioner as Superintendent Engineer w.e.f. 22.09.2024 i.e. the date on which he completes the requisite experience of 07 years along with all consequential benefits.

2. On 09.09.2015, the following order was passed :-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that the petitioner has since retired from service and possibly may not be interested in pursuing this petition, in case he retired from a higher rank to that of Superintending Engineer.

**CWP-18140-2006****-2-**

Adjourned to 07.10.2015 to enable counsel for the petitioner to seek instructions in that regard.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected matters too.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from the office of respondent No.1, submits that since the petitioner as well as respondent No.2 have been promoted upto the post of Engineer-in-Chief and both of them have retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation, therefore, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous.

4. Disposed of as having become infructuous.

19.12.2024
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No