



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-17581-2021(O&M)
Date of decision: 28.11.2024**

Late Sh. Om Parkash Kharb through LRs ... Petitioners
Versus
Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradikaran (HSVP) and others ... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Naveen Dahiya, Advocate, for
Mr. R.P. Dangi, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mrs. Vasundhra Asija Bhandari, Advocate, for
Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate, for the respondents.
...

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

At the outset, learned counsel for the respondent-authorities, with reference to a detailed order, passed by this Court, on November 26, 2024, submits that the petitioner has since been allotted an alternate plot No.3575, Sector 57, Gurugram in lieu of plot No.682-J, Sector 57, Gurugram. In this regard, she has shared with us a copy of the communication dated November 27, 2024, which is taken on record as **Mark 'X'**:

“To

Sh. Om Parkash Kharb S/o Late sh. Tek Ram,
Through Bimla Devi (LR)
R/o C-27G, Vijeta Vihar, Plot No.19/2,
Sector-13, Rohini, New Delhi-110085
Mob:-8168787804

Memo No.7991

Dated:27/11/2024

Sub: Allotment of alternate Plot No.3575, Sector 57, Gurugram in lieu of Plot No.682-J, Sector 57 Gurugram.

In compliance with the approval conveyed by the Worthy Chief Administrator, HSVP, Panchkula, conveyed email dated 26.11.2024 received regarding allotment of alternative plot in lieu of Plot No.682-J, Sector-57 Gurugram, a mini draw was held on 27.11.2024 under the chairpersonship of Administrator, HSVP, Gurugram. As a result of mini draw Plot No.3575 Sector 57, Gurugram has been earmarked in your name.



Accordingly, alternate Plot No.3575, Sector 57, Gurugram is hereby exchanged in lieu of Plot No.682-J, Sector-57 Gurugram. All other terms and condition will remain same as per allotment letter issued vide this office memo No.1059 dated 09.02.2005. The possession of alternate Plot No.3575, Sector 57, Gurugram is hereby offered.

Sd/- Estate Officer-II,
HSVP, Gurugram”

Consequently, she submits that nothing substantive survives in the petition.

In response, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that let the petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, in view of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent(s) as also the letter referred to above.

Ordered accordingly.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

28.11.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO