

2024:PHHC:087713



210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21924-2024
Decided on:-15.07.2024

Jagsir Singh @ Jaggi

....Petitioner..

vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. M.S. Uppal, Advocate with
Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Bikranjit Singh Jatana, Advocate with
Ms.Prabhjot Kaur, Advocate,
for the complainant.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.PC, prayer has been made for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.03 dated 04.01.2024 registered under Sections 307, 325, 323, 148, 149 IPC and 25/27 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Sections 325,326 and 120-B IPC added lateron) at Police Station Boha, District Mansa, wherein the petitioner has been implicated with the allegations of having inflicted three injuries upon the persons of Hardeep Singh, Gurpreet Singh and Baldev Singh @ Kala.

2. On the other hand, the prayer made herein has been opposed at the instance of learned State counsel while referring to the antecedents of the petitioner, who is stated to be involved in two more cases under the

provisions of Indian Penal Code.

Similarly, the prayer has also been opposed at the instance of learned counsel representing the complainant while submitting that the injuries inflicted on the nose of Hardep Singh and on the bicep of Gurpreet Singh have been found to be grievous in nature and thus, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of regular bail.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper book. I find substance in the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner.

4. In the present case, the investigation already stands concluded with the filing of challan on 09.05.2024 and the trial is likely to take some time. The petitioner is in custody for the last 04 months & 20 days.

As regards the involvement of the petitioner in two other cases are concerned, he is on bail in those cases.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts, I do not find any justification to extend the incarceration of the petitioner.

6. In view of the above, but without commenting upon merits of the present petition, the same is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds / surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

7. It is made clear that this order may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

15.07.2024
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/ No