



270

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11698-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision:05.08.2024

SUNITA DEVI Petitioner

Versus

UT CHANDIGARH AND ORS Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Sunil Kumar Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Jhanji, Senior Advocate,
Senior Standing Counsel, with
Mr. Sumeet Jain, Advocate, Addl. Panel Counsel
for respondents No.1 to 3-UT, Chandigarh.

Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik Advocate with
Mr. Divyanshu Kaushik, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate with
Mr. Vardaan Sethi, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

Mr. Surinder Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the respondent, at the outset, submits that as per notification issued by Central Government, the petitioner has remedy to approach Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh.
2. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may be transferred to CAT, Chandigarh.
3. In the wake of statement of learned counsels for the parties,



the Registry of this Court is directed to transfer the complete record of this matter to CAT, Chandigarh.

4. It is made clear that Tribunal shall decide the matter on merits. The petitioner at the first instance shall appear before the Tribunal on 20.08.2024.

5. Pending misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

05.08.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>