

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(279)

CRM-M-41570-2015

Date of decision:- 05.02.2024.

Meenu Chauhan and ors

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Kunal Vinayak, AAG, Punjab.
None for respondent No.2.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition is pending for the last 9 years. After issuing notice of motion vide order dated 09.12.2015, this Court vide order dated 04.03.2022 ordered as under:-

“No one is present on behalf of respondent No.2.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 21.03.2022.

In the meanwhile, personal appearance of petitioner Nos.2 and 3 before the trial Court is exempted, subject to the following conditions:-

i) Petitioners No.2 and 3 shall be represented through their counsel;

ii) shall not delay/stall the trial proceedings;

iii) shall not dispute their identity as accused;

iv) shall have no objection if the prosecution evidence is recorded in their absence but in the presence of their counsel;

v) shall appear before the trial Court as and when required by the trial Court;

vi) any other condition which the trial Court may impose.

2. The aforesaid order shall remain in operation till the trial is concluded.

3. Keeping in view that the trial is at an advanced stage, the present petition is disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

5th February, 2024

spn

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No