



CWP-11986-2022

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(246)

CWP-11986-2022

Date of Decision : May 21, 2024

Ankita

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Satpal Sachdeva, Advocate, for
Mr. Ankit Bishnoi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner should be treated as Data Entry Operator and not as a Ward Servant.

2. The respondents have filed the reply and submitted that there is no master and servant relationship between the petitioner and the Department and as the petitioner was appointed through an outsourcing agency and continued to work under the said agency only, in case there is any grievance, the same should be raised before the appropriate forum against the said agency only.

**CWP-11986-2022****2**

3. Learned counsel for the respondents relies upon the judgment of this Court in ***CWP No.12274 of 2020 titled as Kumar Saurabh and others vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 30.09.2020*** to contend that no writ petition will lie against the private contractor/outsourcing agency.

4. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to avail appropriate remedy for the redressal of her grievance before appropriate forum.

5. Ordered accordingly.

May 21, 2024*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No