

130

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-9916-2024
Date of Decision:02.05.2024

PARAMJIT LAL Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Bhanu Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 25.03.2024 (Annexure P-5) whereby Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar has rejected his request to permit him to rejoin the service.
2. The petitioner on 26.12.2016 joined Punjab Police as Constable (Driver). He voluntarily submitted his resignation on 21.08.2023 because he wanted to settle in Canada. Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar on 21.08.2023 accepted his resignation subject to deposit of 2 months salary as mandated by Rule 14.11 of Punjab Police Rules 1934. The petitioner left the country in December' 2023. He claims that he is unable to settle in Canada. He requested the respondent to recall acceptance of resignation and permit him to rejoin.
3. There is no provision cited by the petitioner which permits recalling of acceptance of resignation which was submitted voluntarily. It

is unfortunate that petitioner lost his job in India and is unable to settle in Canada.

3. This Court does not find any reasonable cause to invoke its extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Petition being bereft of merit deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

02.05.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>