

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

CWP-9800-2024 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 07.05.2024

Jagsir Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana and
Mr. Saurabh Mago, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Sureshwar Thakur, J. (Oral)

1. The learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, submits that Annexure P/11 has remained unchallenged in a revision petition which became made thereagainst before the Revisional Court concerned. Resultantly, thereby Annexure P/11 acquires binding and conclusive effect, and, as such is required to be enforced.
2. The grievance as ventilated in the instant writ petition, is that, despite Annexure P/11, for reasons supra rather acquiring conclusive and binding effect, yet it remaining unforced, therefore, a mandamus is asked to be made upon the respondent concerned, to ensure the successful execution of Annexure P/11.
3. In view of the submission made supra by the learned Additional

Advocate General, Haryana, a mandamus is made upon the respondent concerned, to ensure that within a period of two weeks from today, Annexure P/11 becomes lawfully enforced against the encroachers upon the *gair mumkin* pond.

4. Disposed of accordingly along with all pending applications, if any.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

07.05.2024

Varinder Prashad

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No