

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(107)

CWP-9793-2024

Date of Decision : May 01, 2024

Sonu and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikas Sangwan, Advocate, with
Ms. Monika Sangwan, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that despite the fact that the claim raised in the present petition has already been allowed in favour of the similarly situated employees while passing order in ***CWP No.14591 of 2023 titled as Amit Kumar and others vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 16.12.2023*** but the benefit as extended to the similarly situated employees is not being given to the petitioners which is contrary to the settled principle of law settled by the Division Bench of this Court in ***CWP No. 4382 of 2002 titled as Satbir Singh Vs. State of Haryana, decided on 20.09.2022.***

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that one of the petitioners has also given a representation dated 20.02.2024 to the Chief Secretary Government of Haryana, a copy of which has been appended

with this petition as Annexure P-7 and the petitioners will be satisfied in case the said representation is decided in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that as one of the petitioner has given the representation dated 20.02.2024 (Annexure P-7) to the Chief Secretary but in case any representation is received by the Department concerned at the hands of the petitioners raising the grant of relief as extended to the petitioners in *Amit Kumar's case (supra)*, appropriate order on the said representation will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such claim and if it is found feasible to extend the relief, the same will be extended to them otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the petitioners for their information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to file appropriate representation with the respondent-Department.

7. Ordered accordingly.

May 01, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No