

228

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21465-2024 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 02.07.2024

Randhir Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Jagjeet Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Meena, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*\*

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J.

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking quashing of FIR No.115 dated 10.11.2021 under Sections 498-A/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Section 406 of IPC was added later on), registered at Police Station Taragarh, District Pathankot and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 21.03.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2024:PHHC:081361



2. The following order was passed on 01.05.2024 : -

*“This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.0115 dated 10.11.2021 under Sections 498-A/34 of IPC (Section 406 of IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Taragarh, District Pathankot (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 21.03.2024 (Annexure P-2).*

*Notice of motion for 02.07.2024.*


*At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State. Copy of the paper book be supplied to him during the course of day. Learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes to secure the presence of respondent No.2 before the learned trial Court at the time of recording the statement.*

*Service is complete.*

*In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 02.07.2024.*

*A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”*

2024:PHHC:081361



3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63* and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.115 dated 10.11.2021 under Sections 498-A/34 of IPC (Section 406 of IPC was added later on), registered at Police Station Taragarh, District Pathankot and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

[ HARPREET SINGH BRAR ]  
JUDGE

02.07.2024  
vishnu

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable:       | Yes/No |