

209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 22091 of 2023

Date of Decision: 19.09.2024

Gurpreet Singh @ Gurpreet Singh Brar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:

Mr. Baldev Singh Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdev Singh, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. N.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
08	28.01.2015	Dayalpura, District Bathinda, Punjab	420, 34 IPC

1. Seeking quashing of FIR captioned above, the petitioner has come up before this Court under Section 482 CrPC.
2. State counsel on instructions from ASI Kashmir Singh submits that charges have been framed on 10.01.2020 and the same has not been challenged by the petitioner. Once a judicial order has been passed framing the charges, that judicial order must be explicitly challenged.
3. Faced with the above situation, counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition. Given above, the present petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to challenge the charges. The time for which the present petition is pending before this Court shall not be counted for the purpose of limitation. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)

JUDGE

19.09.2024

Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: No.