

CRWP-3895-2024
Date of decision: 01.05.2024

....Petitioners.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

• • • • •

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 8.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, *inter alia*, contends that the petitioners, feeling threat perception at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 8, have moved a representation dated 25.04.2024 (Annexure P-3) to the Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar, but till date no action thereon has been taken. He further submits that for the purpose of the petition, the petitioners will be satisfied if appropriate directions are given to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, to consider and dispose of the representation dated 25.04.2024 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents.
4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondents No. 1 to 3 and submits that the aforesaid representation will be disposed of in an expeditious manner in accordance with law.
5. After considering the rival contentions and considering the limited request made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, to consider and dispose of the representation dated 25.04.2024 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.
6. The decision so taken be intimated to the petitioners.
7. Disposed of.

01.05.2024
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- i)

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No
- ii)

Whether reportable?

Yes/No