

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21930-2024
Date of decision: 28.05.2024

MONU ALIAS BRIJESH AND OTHERS Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, Advocate and
 Mr. Mandeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

 Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.


 Mr. Vedant Setia, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 24.04.2024 (Annexures P-2 and P-3 respectively) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
694	05.11.2022	420 and 120-B IPC	Surajkund, Faridabad, District Faridabad

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed/affidavit dated 24.04.2024 (Annexures P-2 and P-3 respectively), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. As per report



of learned trial Court, cost of Rs.15,000/- has been deposited in compliance to the order dated 02.05.2024.

3. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Faridabad, vide report dated 15.05.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

4. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Faridabad, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 694 dated 05.11.2022, under Sections 420 and 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Surajkund, Faridabad, District Faridabad, Haryana (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

28.05.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |