



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(129) CRM-M-27831-2024
Date of Decision : 19.12.2024

Akhlaq Ahmed ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. R.K. Saini, Advocate for
Mr. M.S. Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, as cast under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., a prayer is made for quashing of FIR No.4 dated 06.01.2023 (Annexure P-1), under Sections 419 and 420 of the IPC, 1860 (Sections 120-B and 204 of the IPC, 1860, added later on), registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, Gurugram, as well as all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of partial compromise dated 24.03.2024 (Annexure P-2), effected between the parties concerned.
2. The larger Bench of this Court, in CRM-M-48043-2023, has already held that partial compromise, is not permissible. Therefore, the instant petition is **dismissed as withdrawn**, at this stage.
3. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to re-access this Court, in case, full and final compromise is arrived at between the parties concerned.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

December 19, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No