



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M -21285-2024

Date of Decision : May 27, 2024

MANDEEP SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. S.S.Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Akshay Kumar, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 30.4.2024, the following order was passed:-

“1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek grant of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.22 dated 08.03.2024, under Sections 323, 341, 506, 148, 149 of the IPC (Section 307 of the IPC added subsequently), registered at P.S. Moonak, District Sangrur.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that although the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present FIR, however, even if the allegations are taken to be a gospel truth, yet the injury(ies) attributed to the petitioner is “simple in nature”, inasmuch as, he is alleged to have given only fist blow(s) on left eye of the complainant. Moreover, as per the FIR itself, the petitioner was empty handed at the time of alleged occurrence. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to cooperate with the



CRM-M -21285-2024

-2-

investigating officer.

3. *Notice of motion for 21.05.2024.*

4. *Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.*

5. *In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

2. Today, the learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him by 30.4.2024, submits that the petitioner has already joined the investigation and he is fully co-operating with the investigation process and he is not required for any further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the specific stand taken by the learned State counsel, the present petition is **allowed** and order dated 30.4.2024 is, hereby, made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

May 27, 2024
ajay-1

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No