



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-3961-2024
Date of decision : 14.05.2024

PREMO DEVIPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Arshdeep Singh Viridi, Advocate for
Mr. Rajender Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking protection of life and liberty as the petitioner apprehends threat at the hands of the private respondents.

Status report by way of affidavit of Jitender Singh, DSP, Jind-Julana, District Jind (respondent No.5) has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 6 today in Court, which is taken on record. Copy supplied. As per the same, stand of the State reads as under :

“17. That regarding protection of life and liberty of the petitioner and granting appropriate police assistance while obtaining access to the house in order to take out essential items and action on the representations dated 18.10.2023, Annexure P-3, and dated 18.01.2024, Annexure P-4, of the petitioner, it is submitted that the complaint dated 18.10.2023, after enquiry was filed as there was no apprehension of danger to the life of the petitioner, who is residing

2024:PHHC:067168



at Chandigarh alongwith her son. However, in the last week of November, 2023 (actual date is not known) the petitioner has visited at her house for taking out essential items and at that time the then Station House Officer, Police Station Julana alongwith colleague officials visited and granted protection to the petitioner. At that time, there was no apprehension of danger to the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents. So far as other representation dated 18.01.2024, Annexure P-4, is concerned, in this regard it is submitted that the petitioner was telephonically contacted for joining her in the enquiry and on asking the petitioner disclosed that she shall inform the police about the date of her visit in village and at that time she shall got record her statement in this regard. As a result of which this representation has been kept pending for enquiry. However, at present there is no apprehension of danger to the life and liberty of the petitioner and her family members, in the territorial jurisdiction of district Jind. However, protection of life and liberty of the petitioner and her family members shall be made, in accordance with law.”

In view thereof, no further order is warranted.

Petition stands disposed off accordingly.

May 14, 2024
Dpr

(Pankaj Jain)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No