

233

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11423-2022

Date of Decision:- 18.03.2024

Roop Lal Pathania and Anr.

....Petitioners

Vs.

District Magistrate-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Pathankot and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S.Pandher, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate with
Mr. Sumit Narang, Advocate for respondents No.3 and 4.

LISA GILL, J.

1. Prayer in this writ petition is for directing respondent Bank to consider the case of petitioners for One Time Settlement as per prevailing scheme/guidelines. There is further prayer for quashing notice(s) dated 16.10.2021 (Annexure P-1) and 29.12.2021 (Annexure P-2) issued under Section 13(2) and 13(4) respectively of Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (hereinafter referred to as the SARFAESI Act).
2. Learned counsel for respondents No.3 and 4 submits that this writ petition is rendered infructuous as petitioners' account stands settled and closed on 26.09.2023.

3. Learned counsel for petitioners submits that he does not have any instructions in this respect, though it is informed that petitioner No.1-Roop Lal Pathania has passed away.
4. Be that as it may, we do not find any justification for continuance of present proceedings, in view of categoric statement by learned counsel for respondents No.3 and 4.
5. Writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of as infructuous. Liberty is afforded to petitioners to file appropriate application within four weeks in case, any incorrect fact has been set forth before this Court.
6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

18.03.2024
sunil devi

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No