



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21356-2024

Date of decision: 22.05.2024

KULDEEP SINGH AND OTHERS

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. G.S. Aulakh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Raman Singla, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner seeks quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 09.04.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
0046	28.03.2024	323, 341, 379, 447, 506 and 34 of IPC and Section 379-B of IPC added later on vide DDR No.0040 dated 01.04.2024.	Sadar Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 09.04.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and

CRM-M-21356-2024

to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. A copy of Receipt of costs of Rs. 15,000/- has been placed on record in compliance of the order dated 30.04.2024.

3. Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sri Muktsar Sahib, vide report dated 08.05.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

4. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sri Muktsar Sahib, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/accused person.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 46 dated 28.03.2024, under Sections 323, 341, 379, 447, 506 and 34 of IPC and Section 379-B of IPC added later on vide DDR No.0040 dated 01.04.2024, registered at Police Station Sadar Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

22.05.2024

kanika

i) Whether speaking/reasoned?
ii) Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No