

RSA-825-2001 (O&M)

RAM PAL DHIMN V/S M/S NITIKA CEMENTS LTD

Present:- Mr. Manmohan, Advocate for
Mr. Suman Jain, Advocate
for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

On 31.01.2013, following order was passed by the Daily Pre-Lok
Adalat:-

*“Learned counsel for the parties did not put in
appearance despite notices at their residential addresses and
telephonic calls. Notices were thereafter sent to the appellant as
well as to the respondent. Appellant has been served but neither
he nor his counsel has put in appearance.*

In view of the above, returned to the High Court.”

On the last date of hearing, counsel for the appellant stated that his
client is not in his contact. Taking note of fact that none appeared on behalf of
the respondent, the matter was adjourned for today i.e. 01.03.2024 and notices
were sent to both the parties. As per service report, both the parties were
found not residing at the given address. It is stated that both the parties have
left their respective places long ago.

Counsel for the appellant states that even he tried to contact the
appellant through the counsel, who represented him in the lower Court, but he
has failed to get his whereabouts.

Accordingly, this appeal is ordered to be consigned to the record
room and deemed to have been disposed of with liberty to the appellant to get
it revived, as and when so desired.

(JASBIR SINGH)
PRESIDENT

(B.C. GUPTA)
MEMBER

01.03.2024