

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-10685-2020(O&M)
Date of decision: 18.04.2024

Mamta Saini
... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Deepak Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 & 3.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3 submits that the petitioner has been delivered the actual physical possession of the plot in question on 19.01.2024, and nothing substantive survives in the petition.

Accordingly, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3.

Ordered accordingly.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

18.04.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO