

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

2024:PHHC:061082
CRM-M-21936-2024
Date of decision: May 3rd, 2024

Chinky

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Ashish Nagar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to hold an independent fact finding enquiry.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a complaint was given on 15.04.2024 (Annexure P-3) to the police authorities, however, it had failed to yield any response. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on his complaint. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, to look into the complaint dated 15.04.2024 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner and take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Navdeep Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner,

the instant petition is disposed of and respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the complaint dated 15.04.2024 (Annexure P-3), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979*.

May 3rd, 2024
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No