

109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-10040-2024
Date of decision: 02.05.2024

SANJAY KUMAR SHARMA AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

VERSUS

HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURE MARKETING BOARD AND
ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Pardeep Sehrawat, Advocate for the petitioners.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing order dated 16.09.2021 (Annexure P-7) passed by the respondents in which no reason has been mentioned and also for quashing the final gradation list of Mandi Supervisor-cum-Fee Collector dated 24.06.2023 (Annexure P-9) issued by the respondent without taking into consideration the grievances raised by the petitioners as the petitioners were placed at serial Nos.316 to 325, whereas the last person selected has been placed at serial No.100 and further to issue a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to fix the salary of the petitioner at par with the candidates selected vide advertisement No.14/2007 and further to place the petitioners from serial No.100 onwards at par with the selected candidates instead of serial Nos.316 to 325 and with a further prayer to decide the legal notice of the petitioners dated 14.09.2023 (Annexure P-10), which is lying pending with the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioners had served a legal notice dated 14.09.2023 (Annexure P-10) to the Chief Administrator and Secretary, Haryana State Agriculture Marketing Board, Panchkula but the same has not been considered and decided till date. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case the aforesaid legal notice dated 14.09.2023 (Annexure P-10) is considered and decided by the Chief Administrator and Secretary, Haryana State Agriculture Marketing Board, Panchkula in accordance with law and in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Samarth Sagar, Addl. A. G., Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of all the respondent-Board and also on behalf of respondent-HSSC. He submitted that in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, he has no objection in case a time bound direction is issued in this regard.

5. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, without calling for the reply from the respondents and without expressing anything on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Chief Administrator/Secretary, Haryana State Agriculture Marketing Board, Panchkula/competent authority to consider and decide the legal notice dated 14.09.2023 (Annexure P-10) strictly in accordance with law and within a period of three months from today.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

02.05.2024
Chetan Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No