



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

233

CWP-11750-2021
Decided on : 07.05.2024

Tripta
Versus
State of U.T., Chandigarh and others
... Petitioner(s)
... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Tanmoy Gupta, Additional Standing Counsel
for UT Chandigarh (for all the respondents).

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner – Tripta, aged 58 years, has filed the present writ petition, by challenging the impugned order dated 31.05.2021 (Annexure P-3), passed by respondent No.6, vide which, she had been relieved from the services on attaining the age of superannuation.
2. A perusal of the case file reveals that none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner on 14.07.2023, and again on 23.11.2023. Today also, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.
3. Dismissed in default.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

May 07, 2024
J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No