

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 9758 of 2024

Date of decision: 30.04.2024

Kavita and another

.... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

**Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.**

ARUN PALLI, J (Oral)

The petitioners have prayed for the following substantive relief:
“Civil Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for setting aside the impugned action of the respondents in cancelling the highest bid of the petitioner for the residential plot No.757-GP, Sector 33, Karnal, District Karnal.

AND/OR

Further, a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondents to issue the Letter of Intend for the residential plot No.757-GP, Sector 33, Karnal, District Karnal, in pursuance of the mail receipt dated 14.05.2023 (Ananexure P-4), payment receipt dated 16.05.2024 (Annexure P-5), revised auction policy dated 20.07.2022 (Annexure P-1) and in view of the facts and circumstances as mentioned in the writ petition.

AND/OR

Further a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondents to consider and decide the application dated petitioner dated 01.12.2023 and 22.01.2024 (Annexure P-7 and P-8) within a time bound manner.”

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioners had even served the respondent authorities with the representations dated 01.12.2023 (P-8) and 22.01.2024 (P-8), but to no avail.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, is present in Court on behalf of respondents-HSVP. At the outset, he submits, for the competent authority is already in seisin of the matter, it would be expedient if the petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the competent authority to deal with the concerns/grievances of the petitioners. And pass necessary orders, on their representations (*ibid*) in accordance with law, within four weeks from today. He submits that before any such order is passed, the petitioner would also be heard.

Learned counsel for petitioners is agreeable to the course suggested by the learned counsel for the respondents-HSVP and submits that let this petition be disposed of in view of the statement made by him.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, and in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the parties, this petition is accordingly disposed of.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall consider/examine the matter in the right earnest, and pass appropriate orders, within the time indicated by learned counsel for the respondents, assigning reasons in support thereof.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute an expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated earlier, the competent authority shall examine the grievances of the petitioners, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

30.04.2024

deepak

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No