

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25731-2021
DECIDED ON: 22.04.2024

POONAM KAURPETITIONER

VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Kapil Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. K.P. Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 482 Cr.P.C., for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to provide security for opening and operating of the legally authorized wine shop and restrain respondents No. 4 to 19 from interfering in the peaceful conduct of business of the petitioner

In view of the status report dated 13.08.2021, which has already placed on the file, joint statement of the villagers stand recorded, as evident from Annexure R-1/T dated 17.07.2021 to the effect that complainant is not desirous by pursuing the said complaint which was got recorded without any reason.

In the light of the above, nothing survives in the instant petition and the same is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

22.04.2024
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE