



110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9786-2024

Date of Decision : 11-07-2024

HARJINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Kumar Vishav Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, DAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that through advertisement, the posts beyond the quota of direct recruitment in the cadre of Labour Inspector are being filled up, which is causing prejudice to the petitioners, who are eligible for the promotion to the post of Labour Inspector.

In respect of the above-stated grievance, learned State counsel has filed an affidavit of Ms. Mona Puri, Additional Labour Commissioner, Punjab dated 09.07.2024, wherein it has been mentioned that there are total 95 cadre post of the Labour Inspector which are to be filled up in accordance with the rules. As per the affidavit, according to the rules governing the

service, 70% posts are to be filled up by way of direct recruitment and 30% posts are to be filled by way of promotion.

The affidavit further recites that as of now, only 13 direct recruitees are working and that is why 52 posts have been advertised to be filled by direct recruitment as 66 posts out of the 95 posts comes to the share of the direct recruits.

Keeping in view the said affidavit, it is clear that the respondents are not filling the posts by way of direct recruitment by violating the service rules according to which 70% posts are to be filled by way of direct recruitment.

No interference is made out by this Court.

In view of the above, the present petition stands dismissed.

Ordered accordingly.

11-07-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking:	YES/NO
Whether reportable:	YES/NO