

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(208)

CRM-M-20935-2024
Date of decision:- 03.05.2024

Vikas

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Deepak Gollen, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

1. This is the second petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking grant of post-arrest bail in

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
288	24.10.2021	Khol, District Rewari	323, 363, 366-A, 120-B and 376 (2), IPC and Sections 6 and 17 of the POCSO Act

2. Advance copy of the petition has been served upon the State.

3. State counsel has filed Custody Certificate dated 01.05.2024, which is taken on record. He has instructions to state that all the prosecution witnesses have been examined and the prosecution has closed its evidence.

4. Faced with this development, counsel for the petitioner requests for withdrawal of the petition. He, however, submits that as the petitioner is in custody for the last more than two years, Trial Court may be directed to conclude the trial in a time bound manner.

5. His request has been considered.

6. As the petitioner has been in custody for the last more than twenty eight months, Trial Court shall make an endeavour and conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four months from the date of communication of a copy of this order.

7. Dismissed as withdrawn.

03.05.2024

Kamal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No