

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9877-2024
Date of decision: 01.05.2024

ANUJ SHUKLA **....PETITIONER**

Vs.

STATE BANK OF INDIA AND OTHERS **...RESPONDENTS**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate
for the respondents-bank.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of change of cadre of respondent No. 3 from 'General' to 'Specialist' and his consequent appointment as 'Liaison Officer'.
2. Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate submits that official respondents created a post known as 'Liaison Officer' in Specialist Cadre. The intention of official respondents was to accommodate respondent No. 3. No similarly situated person was extended opportunity to put forth his claim. Everybody has a right to be considered for the promotional post. He submits that grievance of the petitioner at this stage would be redressed if respondents are directed to decide his representations dated 14.02.2024 and 25.03.2024 (Annexures P-2 and P-3) respectively.
3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate, who on advance notice is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents and waives service. She submits that though there is delay in filing representations on the part of the petitioner, nevertheless, Competent Authority would look into his grievance and pass an appropriate order within two months from today.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands disposed of.

01.05.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No