

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

CWP-9531-2024

Date of Decision :01.05.2024

Anil Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that without examining the actual record, the impugned order dated 19.12.2023 (Annexure P/6) has been passed by the respondents declining the benefit of regularization of service to the petitioner and that too by ignoring the settled principle of law.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and on instructions from Mr. Sandeep Goyat, DCF, Sonapat submits that impugned order dated 19.12.2023 (Annexure P/6) be treated as withdrawn and liberty be given to the respondents to pass a fresh order on the basis of the record available by keeping in mind the settled

principle of law on the said issue of seeking regularization under the policy dated 01.10.2003 and the said order will be passed within a period of 08 weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

May 01, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No