



205
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20683-2024 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 18.07.2024

JATINDER SINGH AND ANR ...PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Dhiraj Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
 Mr. J.S. Rattu, DAG, Punjab.
 Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.69, dated 09.04.2024 (Annexure P-1), under Sections 420/406/120-B of the IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014, registered at Police Station City Sangrur, District Sangrur.

This Court vide order dated 03.05.2024, while issuing notice of motion, granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioners, subject to their joining the investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in pursuance to the order dated 03.05.2024, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Gurpiar Singh does not controvert the said fact and further submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required.



At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners informed that a part of the 2nd installment was paid yesterday i.e. 17.07.2024 to respondent No.2. He undertakes on instructions from his client that the petitioners will adhere to the arrangements of the installments of repaying the amount to the complainant that is in four equal installments which will be paid by 7th of every month.

The said payment will be released through RTGS into the bank account of respondent No.2 as the bank account no. are already available with the petitioners.

In view of the above, the order dated 03.05.2024 is made absolute considering the statement made by counsel for the petitioners before this Court.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

However, it is made clear that in case of any default in payment of any of the installment whatsoever the respondent No.2 would be at liberty to move application for cancellation of bail.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

18.07.2024
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>