



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.2797 of 2024
Date of Decision: 08.05.2024

Rajneesh @ Rajnish
.....Revisionist-Petitioner.
Versus
Pooja
.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Mohan Singh Chauhan, Advocate, appearing for
 Mr. Anmoldeep Singh, Advocate
 for the revisionist-petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

By filing the instant revision-petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner-husband has sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of direction to learned Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Rohtak, to decide the Divorce Petition bearing No.*HMA/227 of 2022* titled as ‘*Rajneesh Kharab Versus Pooja*’, in a time-bound manner, while averring that he (petitioner) had filed the above-referred petition about two years ago and the same has not yet been decided.

2. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the revisionist-petitioner in the present revision-petition, at the preliminary stage and have also gone through the file carefully.



3. Keeping in view the facts that the afore-referred Divorce Petition had been filed in the year 2022 and as per Annexure P-2 (colly), i.e the copies of the orders passed in the above-said petition, the trial is yet to commence in the same as well as the provisions contained in Section 21-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the afore-mentioned Divorce Petition, the revision-petition in hand is, hereby, disposed of with a direction to the concerned Family Court to conclude the proceedings in the Divorce Petition under reference and to decide it, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

May 08, 2024
Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>